

HISTORIC DISTRICT BOARD OF REVIEW

Minutes

June 24, 2024

The Madison City Historic District Board of Review held the regularly scheduled meeting on Monday, June 24, 2024 at 5:30 p.m. at 101 W. Main Street. Mike Pittman presided over the meeting with the following members present: Happy Smith, Ken McWilliams, Carol Ann Rogers, Jed Skillman, Sandy Palmer, and William Jewell. Also present was Nicole M Schell – Director of Planning and Brenna Haley – Historic Preservationist.

M. Pittman gave an overview of what to expect for those who have never been to a Historic District Board of Review meeting. Once the application is announced the applicant or representative will come up to the microphone to answer any questions. N. Schell will present the particulars on the project. The board will then go through a list of items to see if they meet the guidelines. M. Pittman added that at the end of each application, the board will vote.

5/28/2024 Minutes:

M. Pittman asked if everyone had a chance to read the minutes for the meeting on May 28, 2024, and had any corrections or additions.

S. Palmer moved to approve the minutes. Seconded by K. McWilliams.

Roll Call:

S. Palmer	Approved
K. McWilliams	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
H. Smith	Approved
M. Pittman	Approved

Minutes stand approved.

Page 2
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

Applications:

1. Brian Marshall - C. of A. to build a 40-ft x 47-ft pole barn.
Location: 108 W 5th St (rear of 701 - 703 West St)
Zoned: Central Business District (CBD)

N. Schell showed photos provided by the applicant and explained the changes proposed by the applicant. Brian Marshall was present.

N. Schell asked the board to decide which guidelines to evaluate the building under. The board agreed to consider it under the Residential guidelines.

structures.

several buildings on the same block have vertical siding.

stated he would be willing to change it to a window if that would be preferable.

better match the surrounding buildings.

beam, not a pole barn.

over on West Street.

M. Pittman asked for public comment.

June 24, 2024

Certificate of Appropriateness Findings of Fact Worksheet

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
24.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION - OUTBUILDINGS	p. 101-102	<p><i>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines</i> – 24.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION - OUTBUILDINGS p. 101-102</p> <p>24.1 The design of new garages and other accessory buildings should be compatible with dwellings in the historic district.</p> <p>24.2 Site new garages and accessory buildings appropriately on the lot.</p> <p>24.5 Materials used for new garages and outbuildings should reflect the historical development of the property.</p> <p>24.6 Generally, the eaves and roof ridge of any new outbuilding should not be higher than those of the existing primary building.</p> <p>24.7 Windows which are readily visible from the public right-of-way should be appropriate to the style of the house. Visible pedestrian doors should either be appropriate for the style of house to which the outbuilding relates or be flat with no panels.</p> <p>24.8 Metal garage doors with a paneled design may be appropriate.</p> <p>24.9 At double garages, two single garage doors rather than one larger, double door should be installed.</p> <p>K. <i>McWilliams</i> – There are issues still, but basically this meets the guidelines.</p> <p>J. <i>Skillman</i> – I agree.</p> <p>C. <i>Rogers</i> – I agree.</p>

		<p><i>H. Smith</i> – I don't agree. I think the guidelines are describing a garage, which would be an accompanying building. So, I feel it does not meet the guidelines.</p> <p><i>S. Palmer</i> – I disagree too. It is not an accessory building behind, and this has its own address and it's on the face of a main street. I also think part of our responsibility is to consider the effects of the guidelines on the individual structure, and on the neighborhood. For those reasons, I disagree.</p> <p><i>B. Jewell</i> - I agree. This is a transitional area, so I think we should maybe give a little bit on this one, as it's not all the same type of architecture right there.</p> <p><i>M. Pittman</i> – I agree.</p>
--	--	---

Page 4
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

Roll Call:	
M. Pittman	Approved
H. Smith	Denied
K. McWilliams	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved
S. Palmer	Denied

The motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was approved. A Certificate will be issued for the entire project.

- 2. Larry and Joni Hoffman – C. of A. to build a 26-ft x 26-ft two story garage at the rear of the property.
Location: 1211 W Main St
Zoned: Medium Density Residential (R-8)

N. Schell showed photos provided by the applicant and explained the changes proposed by the applicant. Larry and Joni Hoffman were present.

Larry Hoffman explained that they do not currently have a contractor and are attempting to find one. L. Hoffman described the proposed structure as having a poured concrete stepped foundation. He explained that it would start at the alley and come into the yard 15 feet, where the dirt would be excavated and held up with a retaining wall.

S. Palmer asked for clarification on the height of the structure. L. Hoffman stated that the building would be two stories tall. H. Smith asked if it would be visible from the front of the house from the public right-of-way. L. Hoffman confirmed that it would not be visible. J. Hoffman explained that the property is on a slope. L. Hoffman confirmed the structure would be level with the alley, while the second level would be even with the yard.

H. Smith asked what materials would be used. L. Hoffman stated that it would be LP smart siding with vinyl windows and a standing seam metal roof.

J. Skillman mentioned a conversation he had with the applicants about the root pruning of a tree in their backyard that would end up being located between the house and the new structure. L. Hoffman confirmed that they would not be removing the tree.

M. Pittman asked for public comment.

Certificate of Appropriateness Findings of Fact Worksheet

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
24.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION - OUTBUILDINGS p. 101-102	p. 101-102	<p><i>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines</i> – 24.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION - OUTBUILDINGS p. 101-102</p> <p>24. 1 The design of new garages and other accessory buildings should be compatible with dwellings in the historic district.</p> <p>24.2 Site new garages and accessory buildings appropriately on the lot.</p> <p>24.4 The outbuilding should maintain a proportional mass, size, and height to ensure it is not taller or wider than the principal building on the lot.</p> <p>24.5 Materials used for new garages and outbuildings should reflect the historical development of the property.</p> <p>24.6 Generally, the eaves and roof ridge of any new outbuilding should not be higher than those of the existing primary building.</p> <p>24.7 Windows which are readily visible from the public right-of-way should be appropriate to the style of the house. Visible pedestrian doors should either be appropriate for the style of house to which the outbuilding relates or be flat with no panels.</p> <p>24.8 Metal garage doors with a paneled design may be appropriate.</p> <p>24.9 At double garages, two single garage doors rather than one larger, double door should be installed.</p> <p>H. Smith – In almost all regards, this project meets the guidelines. B. Jewell - I agree. S. Palmer – I agree. C. Rogers – I agree. J. Skillman – I agree. K. McWilliams – I agree. M. Pittman – I agree.</p>

Page 6
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

M. Pittman asked for a motion. H. Smith made the following motion, "Based on the preceding findings of fact, I move that the Madison Historic District Board of Review grant a certificate of appropriateness to Larry and Joni Hoffman, located at 1211 West Main Street, for a garage at the back of the property that is the dimensions and materials that they specify in their application."

Seconded by K. McWilliams.

Roll Call:

M. Pittman	Approved
H. Smith	Approved
K. McWilliams	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved
S. Palmer	Approved

The motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was approved. A Certificate will be issued for the entire project.

- 3. Bob Vonch – C. of A. to build top floor addition on north side of structure.
Location: 407 E Vaughn Dr
Zoned: OPEN SPACE (OS)

N. Schell showed photos provided by the applicant and explained the changes proposed by the applicant. Bob Vonch was present.

B. Vonch explains that the addition will be built onto the back of the existing structure to create more living space, and the addition will not be visible from the front of the structure. M. Pittman questioned if the building would end up wider. B. Vonch confirmed it would not end up any wider. B. Vonch also confirmed that the proposed Hardie board siding would be the same as the rest of the structure to keep the overall look the same.

W. Jewell asked for a description of how the roofline would change after the work was completed. B. Vonch stated that the pitch would remain the same everywhere except the section directly under where the addition would be placed. He also confirmed that the new section of roof would use the same kind of metal that the rest of the structure does.

Page 7
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

H. Smith asked if anything would happen to the section of the structure that overhangs the exterior staircase. B. Vonch confirmed nothing would happen to it.

M. Pittman asked for public comment.

Certificate of Appropriateness Findings of Fact Worksheet

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
------------------	---------------------	------------

26.0 New Construction - Additions	p. 88-90	<p>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines – 26.0 New Construction - Additions p. 88-90</p> <p>26.1 Where possible, locate new additions at the rear so that they have a minimal impact on the façade and other primary elevation of the affected building or adjacent properties.</p> <p>26.2 The overall proportions of a new addition should be compatible with the existing building in height, scale, size, and massing so as not to overpower it visually. A new addition should never be taller or wider than the original structure unless required by code or a non-aesthetic functional requirement. Observe the principle of “additive massing” where the original structure remains dominant and the additions are adjoining and smaller masses.</p> <p>26.3 The design elements of a new addition should be compatible with the existing building in terms of materials, style, color, roof forms, massing proportion and spacing of doors and windows, details, surface texture, and location. Contemporary adaptations of the original which clearly look like an addition and reflect the period of construction are encouraged.</p> <p>26.4 Additions should be constructed so that they can be removed from the original building in the future without irreversible damage to significant features. Additions should be set in at least one foot (1') to show a break between the original structure and the new addition.</p> <p>26.5 Vinyl, aluminum, or pressed wood are not appropriate on additions to historic buildings. Other substitute siding or trim may be allowed. SEE SIDING GUIDELINES.</p> <p>26.6 Wood windows are most appropriate for new additions within the historic district; however, substitute window materials may also be acceptable for new additions. SEE WINDOWS GUIDELINES.</p> <p>26.7 Rooflines of new additions should be similar in form, pitch, and eave height to the roofline of the original building.</p>
-----------------------------------	----------	---

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
26.0 New Construction - Additions	p. 88-90	<p>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines – 26.0 New Construction - Additions p. 88-90</p> <p>26.8 Foundations should be similar to or compatible with the existing foundations in material, color, detailing, and height. SEE FOUNDATIONS GUIDELINES.</p> <p>26.9 Consider in your plan older additions or other alterations to existing buildings that have acquired significance over time when planning and building a new addition.</p> <p><i>S. Palmer</i> – I think that this application is in compliance with the guidelines.</p> <p><i>W. Jewell</i> – I agree.</p> <p><i>H. Smith</i> – I agree.</p>

		C. Rogers – I agree. J. Skillman – I agree. K. McWilliams – I agree. M. Pittman – I agree.
--	--	---

M. Pittman asked for a motion. S. Palmer made the following motion, "Based on the preceding findings of fact, I move that the Madison Historic District Board of Review approve a certificate of appropriateness for Bob Vance at 407 East Vaughn Drive for the proposed construction of a second story in the rear of the dwelling."

Seconded by W. Jewell.

Roll Call:

M. Pittman	Approved
H. Smith	Approved
K. McWilliams	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved
S. Palmer	Approved

Page 9
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

The motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was approved. A Certificate will be issued for the entire project.

- 4. Kyle and Stephanie Smith – build a 44-ft x 66-ft new residential structure.
Location: 1016+ E First St
Zoned: HISTORIC DISTRICT RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

N. Schell showed photos provided by the applicant and explained the changes proposed by the applicant. Kyle and Stephanie Smith were present.

S. Smith stated that they currently live on Cross Street in Hanover, and that they are very excited to have the view of the river in their new home. She explained that the proposed structure is very similar to the surrounding neighbors, with the same kind of siding and aluminum-clad windows. They want as much glass and as many windows as possible to let in as much view and as much light as possible. They do intend to flip the location of the stairs to the other side on the rear exterior and place a garage in the original stair location for a golf cart.

J. Skillman asked how much land they owned going down to the river. S. Smith confirmed that they own the land on their parcel all the way down to Filmore Alley.

H. Smith asked N. Schell how far the historic district extends. N. Schell confirmed that it includes everything to the eastern city limits. The proposed property is less than ten parcels inside the district. Most of the area around the property is considered non-contributing to the district.

H. Smith asked if there would be assistance from a builder. S. Smith confirmed that Alexandra Hammock, 517 West Street, would be helping with the designs. A. Hammock explained that Riverside Contracting & Excavating has six lots, Roger Welch is building one, and there are four other lots in that

area. H. Smith asked for confirmation on the total number of open lots. A. Hammock confirmed there are ten open lots. She also confirmed that the lots in that area are subject to developer approval for consistency in appearance.

M. Pittman asked for public comment.

Page 10
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

Certificate of Appropriateness Findings of Fact Worksheet

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
23.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION-DWELLINGS	p. 94-100	<p><i>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines</i> – 23.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION-DWELLINGS p. 94-100</p> <p>Building Placement</p> <p>23.1 Maintain a similar front, side, and rear yard setback to other historic buildings on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.2 Maintain the pattern of building separation and lot coverage that is found on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.3 Place outbuildings and accessory structures in side and rear yards.</p> <p>23.4 Minimize ground disturbance during new construction to avoid unnecessary damage to unknown archaeological resources. If an artifact dating prior to December 31, 1870 is discovered, follow the guidance for archaeology set forth in Appendix E.</p> <p>Building Height/Scale</p> <p>23.5 New construction should have heights consistent with neighboring dwellings along the block.</p> <p>23.6 Make the scale of the proposed building compatible with the scale of contributing structures along the block or side of street.</p> <p>23.7 Design the proportion (the ratio of height to width) of the proposed new building and its architectural elements to be consistent with the proportion of contributing buildings and their associated architectural elements on the block or side of street.</p> <p>23.8 Use windows and doors in new construction that are compatible in proportion, shape, location, pattern, and size with windows and doors of contributing buildings on the block or side of street.</p> <p>Materials</p> <p>23.9 Keep the siding and trim material of the proposed building consistent with the materials traditionally used on the immediate block and in the historic district.</p> <p>23.10 The use of substitute products such as vinyl, aluminum and pressed board siding may be appropriate.</p> <p>23.11 Use materials in traditional ways.</p>

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
23.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION-DWELLINGS	p. 94-100	<p>Materials</p> <p>23.12 Vinyl clad and vinyl frame windows may be used in new construction provided that the surrounding window trim and the muntin pattern are appropriate to the architectural style and period of the structure.</p> <p>Details</p> <p>23.13 Use architectural details on the building that complement the architectural details of contributing structures on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.14 Provide a date brick or other exterior date identification marker on all new construction to assist future generations in the dating of buildings.</p> <p>Texture</p> <p>23.15 Create in new construction a similar degree of texture as that found in contributing buildings in the historic district.</p> <p>Form and Rhythm</p> <p>23.16 Design new construction that reflects the basic shapes and forms on the block and in the historic district.</p> <p>23.17 Maintain consistency with style of buildings and contributing structures found on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.18 Maintain similar percentages and patterns of window and door openings consistent with the style of buildings.</p> <p><i>C. Rogers</i> – I think that this application meets the guidelines.</p> <p><i>J. Skillman</i> – I agree.</p> <p><i>K. McWilliams</i> – I agree.</p> <p><i>W. Jewell</i> – I agree.</p> <p><i>S. Palmer</i> – I agree.</p> <p><i>H. Smith</i> – I think it's a mixed bag of meeting and not meeting the guidelines. However, you are really on the edge of the historic district, you have river lots, you have your own kind of assemblage of buildings there all maintaining a similar town, even though it doesn't really look like it's in concert with historic Madison. It is its own thing, but since it's over there on the side with the river view, I think it's a good place for an exception to some of our guidelines.</p> <p><i>M. Pittman</i> – I think that it meets all the guidelines. It fits that area well, I think.</p>

Page 12
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

M. Pittman asked for a motion. K. McWilliams made the following motion, "Based on the preceding findings of fact, I move that the Madison Historic District Board of Review approve a certificate of appropriateness for Kyle and Stephanie Smith at 1016+ East First Street for their new home."

Seconded by S. Palmer.

Roll Call:

M. Pittman	Approved
H. Smith	Approved
K. McWilliams	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
S. Palmer	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved

The motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was approved. A Certificate will be issued for the entire project.

- 5. Alexandra Hammock – build a 42-ft x 53-ft new residential structure.
Location: 1028 E First St
Zoned: HISTORIC DISTRICT RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

N. Schell showed photos provided by the applicant and explained the changes proposed by the applicant. Alexandra Hammock was present.

A. Hammock stated that she was also applying for new construction in the same area as the previous application. She also explained that the lot would not actually be right in line with the other lots on the street but would instead have a 25-ft easement between lots 5 and 6. The structure will use LP siding, aluminum-clad windows, and a shingle roof. The proposal features two single garages, but A. Hammock prefers one double garage instead. She also mentioned that a change would be made to the roof. The application originally showed a flat roof over the dining area on the house. This would be changed to a gabled roof there, to help prevent the leaks that are more common with flat roofs.

Page 13
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

W. Jewell asked if A. Hammock would be willing to do two garage doors. A. Hammock liked the two doors, but worried that it would be hard to fit a full-size truck or SUV into the garage, which is why they had been considering one larger door. Tony Hammock explained that having two doors rather than just one larger one can eat up a lot of room in the garage area. He stated that if they must have two garages, the doors must be at least 9-ft wide to best accommodate larger vehicles. K. McWilliams asked if there would be room for two 10-ft doors. T. Hammock stated that it would eat up too much space. J. Skillman asked if one door could be 8-ft and the other 10-ft. T. Hammock said that would not work with the other house plans. A. Hammock stated that she liked the look of two doors but felt one larger door was more practical.

M. Pittman asked for public comment.

Vickie Young, 1032 Park Avenue, asked for clarification on how many lots A. Hammock's company had. A. Hammock confirmed that her company has ten lots. V. Young asked for more information about the addresses. A. Hammock stated that the lots were originally half the size they are now. The company combined the original 25-ft lots into 50-ft lots instead, which has messed up the addresses. The addresses will be updated as soon as possible. V. Young asked if there would be a lot on the other side of Roger Welch's lot. A. Hammock stated there was one lot on the other side of R. Welch's lot that is part of the ten, plus an additional lot that is owned solely by Lisa and Tony Hammock. V. Young asked if there would be a road included in this new development. A. Hammock says that the road belongs to the city and that her company has nothing to do with it.

H. Smith asked for clarification on the size of the lots. A. Hammock confirmed that there were more lots originally, but those lots had been combined into larger lots to accommodate a one car garage, a primary bedroom, and laundry on the main level to make the overall home more accessible to more people. H. Smith also questioned the strip of land between lots 5 and 6. A. Hammock confirmed that that the land would be used for utilities by the city. Lisa Hammock stated that it would be a 6-in water main.

Mayor Bob Courtney stated that a lot of investment has been made in the area of Vaughn Drive and the surrounding area, and that it looks beautiful. He appreciates all the work being done to make the city look nicer.

Certificate of Appropriateness Findings of Fact Worksheet

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
26.0 New Construction - Additions	p. 88-90	<p>Madison Historic District Design Guidelines – 23.0 NEW CONSTRUCTION-DWELLINGS p. 94-100</p> <p>Building Placement</p> <p>23.1 Maintain a similar front, side, and rear yard setback to other historic buildings on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.2 Maintain the pattern of building separation and lot coverage that is found on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.3 Place outbuildings and accessory structures in side and rear yards.</p> <p>23.4 Minimize ground disturbance during new construction to avoid unnecessary damage to unknown archaeological resources. If an artifact dating prior to December 31, 1870 is discovered, follow the guidance for archaeology set forth in Appendix E.</p> <p>26.9 Consider in your plan older additions or other alterations to existing buildings that have acquired significance over time when planning and building a new addition.</p> <p>Building Height/Scale</p> <p>23.5 New construction should have heights consistent with neighboring dwellings along the block.</p> <p>23.6 Make the scale of the proposed building compatible with the scale of contributing structures along the block or side of street.</p> <p>23.7 Design the proportion (the ratio of height to width) of the proposed new building and its architectural elements to be</p>

		<p>consistent with the proportion of contributing buildings and their associated architectural elements on the block or side of street.</p> <p>23.8 Use windows and doors in new construction that are compatible in proportion, shape, location, pattern, and size with windows and doors of contributing buildings on the block or side of street.</p> <p>Materials</p> <p>23.9 Keep the siding and trim material of the proposed building consistent with the materials traditionally used on the immediate block and in the historic district.</p>
--	--	---

Page 15
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion
26.0 New Construction - Additions	p. 88-90	<p>23.10 The use of substitute products such as vinyl, aluminum and pressed board siding may be appropriate.</p> <p>23.11 Use materials in traditional ways.</p> <p>23.12 Vinyl clad and vinyl frame windows may be used in new construction provided that the surrounding window trim and the muntin pattern are appropriate to the architectural style and period of the structure.</p> <p>Details</p> <p>23.13 Use architectural details on the building that complement the architectural details of contributing structures on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.14 Provide a date brick or other exterior date identification marker on all new construction to assist future generations in the dating of buildings.</p> <p>Texture</p> <p>23.15 Create in new construction a similar degree of texture as that found in contributing buildings in the historic district.</p> <p>Form and Rhythm</p> <p>23.16 Design new construction that reflects the basic shapes and forms on the block and in the historic district.</p> <p>23.17 Maintain consistency with style of buildings and contributing structures found on the block and/or side of the street.</p> <p>23.18 Maintain similar percentages and patterns of window and door openings consistent with the style of buildings.</p> <p><i>K. McWilliams</i> – This meets the guidelines.</p> <p><i>H. Smith</i> – I will say what I said before. I think it's a mixture of meeting and not meeting our guidelines with regard to the historic district and historic buildings, but this is right at the edge of the historic district. It's kind of a thing unto itself. It's build to a very high price point. It's riverfront lots. I think it's a good place to make an exception to some of our guidelines.</p> <p><i>S. Palmer</i> – I agree for the same reasons.</p>
Building Element	Guideline Page #	Discussion

26.0 New Construction - Additions	p. 88-90	W. Jewell – I agree. C. Rogers – I agree. J. Skillman – I agree. M. Pittman – I agree.
-----------------------------------	----------	---

M. Pittman asked for a motion. S. Palmer made the following motion, “Based on the preceding findings of fact, I move that the Madison Historic District Board of Review approve a certificate of appropriateness for Alexandra Hammock at 1028 East First Street, or some number close to that, with the proposed new construction of the house using the materials identified.”

Seconded by J. Skillman.

Roll Call:

M. Pittman	Approved
H. Smith	Approved
K. McWilliams	Approved
C. Rogers	Approved
J. Skillman	Approved
S. Palmer	Approved
W. Jewell	Approved

The motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was approved. A Certificate will be issued for the entire project.

New/Old Business:

N. Schell introduced new staff member, Brenna Haley, to the board. B. Haley is the new Historic Preservationist for the city and will be taking over the presenting role from N. Schell.

N. Schell mentioned the State Historic Preservation Conference that will be held in Madison from October 20th to 25th, 2024. The state is offering seven scholarships to the board for attendance. Only four board members have confirmed their attendance on scholarship.

Page 17
Historic District Board of Review
June 24, 2024

Staff Report:

June 2024 Fast-Track Applications

Applicant	Address	COA
Cathy Taylor	1014 W Main St	Siding; doors
Cathy Taylor	1010 W Main St	garage
Lynne Burns	620 Spring St.	chimney
Playhouse Café LLC	833 W Main St.	sign

Applicant	Address	COA	Completion Status
Greer, Samantha	410 E. Second St.	Garage roof	no
Rutherford, Kathryn	423 W. Main St.	doors	Yes
Luddington, Link	611 Mulberry St.	House relocation	no
Mullins, Kimberly	770 W. Third St.	New garage	yes
Marshall, Brian	1003 East St.	Shed demo	Yes
Prickett, Mike	919 W. First St.	Covered porch	yes
Prickett, Mike	921 W. First St.	New garage	no

C. Rogers made a motion to adjourn the meeting – seconded by S. Palmer.

Meeting adjourned at 6:53 p.m.

BY ORDER OF THE MADISON CITY HISTORIC DISTRICT BOARD OF REVIEW


Mike Pittman, Chairman


Nicole M Schnell, Director of Planning